

Comment on “Observation of a Push Force on the End Face of a Nanometer Silica Filament Exerted by Outgoing Light”

Iver Brevik*

Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

The Abraham-Minkowski electromagnetic energy-momentum tensor problem has been on the agenda since about 1910. The recent experiment of She *et al.* [1] is in this connection of interest, as it shows how the radiation force from a low-intensity laser yields an inward push force on the end face of a vertical fiber.

But does this experiment measure electromagnetic momentum? In our opinion the answer is no. What is detected is merely the electromagnetic Abraham-Minkowski force density $\mathbf{f}^{AM} = -(\epsilon_0/2)E^2\nabla n^2$ in the surface layer of the filament (or in other regions where n varies). This is not related to the electromagnetic momentum in itself. The electromagnetic force density is $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{AM} + [(n^2 - 1)/c^2]\partial/\partial t(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H})$, and electromagnetic momentum does not appear until the second term in this expression. This is the Abraham term. It is in principle measurable although it is usually small; moreover it simply fluctuates out when averaged over an optical period in a stationary beam.

For illustration, let us assume that a short laser pulse with energy \mathcal{H} falls from vacuum towards the entrance surface of a free-standing fiber (we ignore gravity). If there is an antireflection film of refractive index \sqrt{n} on the surface, \mathcal{H} is the energy of the pulse in the medium also. The impulse imparted to the surface because of the surface force f^{AM} is $G_{surf} = \mathcal{H}(n - 1)/c$, directed against the beam if $n > 1$. When the pulse leaves at the exit surface, a corresponding reverse impulse is imparted. In the Abraham case, one has to take into account the mechanical momentum G_{mech}^A caused by the Abraham term also. One finds $G_{mech}^A = \mathcal{H}(n^2 - 1)/nc$. The resulting longitudinal displacement of the fiber because of the sum $G_{surf} + G_{mech}^A$ becomes $\Delta x^A = (\mathcal{H}/c^2\mu)(n - 1)$, where $\mu = M/L$ is the mass per unit length. As discussed on p. 189 in Ref. [2], $\Delta x^A \sim 1$ pm or less, and is clearly non-observable.

In the present case, the fiber is fixed at the upper end. There will be a downward directed impulse imparted to the fiber at the lower end when the pulse leaves. It is very small: taking the flux to be 10 mW and the pulse duration to be 270 ms, we get $\mathcal{H} = 2.7$ mJ resulting in $G_{surf} = 4.5$ pN·s if $n = 1.5$. Because of elasticity, there

*Electronic address: iver.h.brevik@ntnu.no

will be an upward directed recoil in the fiber. (Sideways motion may result from non-axisymmetric elastic conditions.)

We propose finally a modification of the experiment that might be capable of detecting the Abraham force after all (cf. also p. 191 of [2]): Let a long fiber of length L be wound up on a drum of radius R and of small weight. Suspend the drum as a vertical torsional pendulum such that it can oscillate about the z axis with an eigenfrequency ω_0 . Then send an *intensity modulated* optical wave through the fiber, such that its harmonic component has the same frequency ω_0 . We take the incident energy flux in vacuum to be $P^{(i)} = P_0 \cos^2(\frac{1}{2}k_0x - \frac{1}{2}\omega_0t)$, where $k_0 = \omega_0/c$, x is the longitudinal coordinate, and P_0 is the unmodulated energy flux averaged over an optical period. With antireflection films on the end surfaces we obtain in the Abraham case a longitudinal force $F^A = P_0[(n - 1)/cn] \sin(\frac{1}{2}nk_0L) \sin(\frac{1}{2}nk_0L - \omega_0t)$, whereas in the Minkowski case $F^M = -nF^A$. These forces give rise to measurable axial torques N_z on the drum. Assuming the sheet of fiber on the drum to be thin we obtain, when setting $\sin(\frac{1}{2}nk_0L) \approx \frac{1}{2}nk_0L$, in the Abraham case $N_z^A = [(n - 1)/2c^2]RLP_0\omega_0 \sin(\frac{1}{2}nk_0L - \omega_0t)$. In the Minkowski case, $N_z^M = -nN_z^A$. The two predictions are thus quite different.

For definiteness, assume that a YAG laser at $1.06 \mu\text{m}$ produces the incident beam. Assume that a high power of $P_0 = 1$ kW can be transmitted through the fiber, and neglect any losses. Then, with $L = 100$ m, $n = 1.5$, $R = 10$ cm, $\omega_0 = 10$ s $^{-1}$, we obtain for the predicted torque amplitudes $N_z^A = 2.8 \times 10^{-13}$ Nm, $N_z^M = 4.2 \times 10^{-13}$ Nm.

The above amplitudes are less than those of Ref. [3] (10^{-12} Nm), but of the same order of magnitude as in Ref. [4]. Actually, they are greater than those in the classic experiment of Ref. [5] (10^{-16} Nm). Realization of our proposed experiment appears difficult but not impossible.

Finally, it should be mentioned that our Einstein-box argument above implicitly assumed wide lateral dimensions for the pulse. Cf. also the Comment of Mansuripur on this point [6].

-
- [1] W. She, J. Yu, and R. Feng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 243601 (2008).
 - [2] I. Brevik, Physics Reports **52**, 133 (1979).
 - [3] G. Roosen and C. Imbert, Can. J. Phys. **52**, 1903 (1974).
 - [4] R. V. Jones and J. C. S. Richards, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **221**,

480 (1954).

- [5] R. A. Beth, Phys. Rev. **50**, 115 (1936).
- [6] M. Mansuripur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 019301 (2009).